

Sex Perverts At Work At State, CIA, Former FSO Says

FOIAb3b

On August 29, G. Marvin Gentile, the State Department's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Security informed FSO-1 William Boswell, currently the Chief of the State Department's Senior Officer Program that "events constrained him" to request a written explanation for the record of Mr. Boswell's role in the case of the "homosexual" who was recently promoted to FSO-1 in the 1967 promotion list submitted by the President and confirmed by the Senate. The foregoing information was communicated to *The Exchange* on August 31 by a senior Foreign Service Officer just retiring who cannot be further publicly identified except that he is on good, personal terms with Mr. Gentile, Mr. Boswell and the "homosexual" involved.

Readers of *The Exchange* will recall that Mr. Boswell was himself previously Deputy Assistant Secretary for Security during the eruption of the notorious "sex and spy scandals" in Warsaw. He was succeeded by John Reilly who concealed information about Elmer Dewey Hill's Warsaw activities from a Civil Service security inspector. Mr. Reilly subsequently resigned while under the threat of charges of perjury before the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee in connection with the Otto Otepka case. Mr. Gentile, whose previous security career was at the Central Intelligence Agency, succeeded Mr. Reilly. Mr. Gentile was designated at the top of the Foreign Service corps as an FSO-1 from the outset, even though he had not previously had any service in the Foreign Service.

When asked to elaborate about "constraints", the FSO source stated that Mr. Gentile and Mr. Boswell had previously discussed the "homosexual" case at length following the publication of the April 19 issue of *The Exchange*. That issue revealed the basic facts about the case. Both had then agreed not to take "official notice" of the case because, it developed, this "homosexual" case, as well as the case of the "homosexual security officer" with whom the FSO-1 had had relations, was governed by a "top secret" CIA-State agreement on the use of sex perverts and other security risks by the CIA as "double agents," or in so-called "peripheral reporting."

Asked to explain this "top secret" agreement, the source referred for substantiation to an article in *The Christian Science Monitor*, on August 29, written by Lyn Shepard. In his report, Mr. Shepard, discussing the CIA controversy with Senator Sam Ervin regarding the use of polygraphs and the invasion of the privacy of Federal employees, wrote as follows:

"Behind the closed doors of the Judiciary Committee, Senator Ervin had opposed any moves to grant the CIA and NSA special 'right to pry' privileges. The limited-testing amendment proposed by Sens. Birch Bayh (D) of Indiana and Edward M. Kennedy (D) of Massachusetts, gained a majority anyway."

"The security agencies decline official comment on their operation, including the use of polygraph tests without the proposed restrictions."

"One Senate source close to the issue, however, said that such tests serve a dual purpose. At times they screen out undesirable applicants who might be subject to blackmail pressure. And sometimes they are used to deliberately 'screen in' such undesirables so the agency can make contacts in vice circles."

"The only big issue is the 'right to pry', the source said. 'These agencies are in the prying business. They have to ask some of these questions—no matter who they offend.'"

"They have to recruit some drug addicts and sex deviates to contact others like them in London, Paris, or hippy circles wherever to find out what the agencies need to know."

According to the same senior, retiring FSO, the "top secret" agreement allows the sexual deviate to acquire "immunity" and continue his Foreign Service career to the top, even though he is supplying information to foreign powers, provided he acts as a "double agent" for CIA.

The same source also revealed that there were two other "corollary" agreements between CIA and State. One governed an arrangement to fabricate or to modify certain personnel documents, espe-

cially by removing original documents. The other concerned the "programming-in" of CIA recruits or officers into the State Department, Foreign Service, AID, and USIA. The "programming-in" was currently being done, the source stated, through the Office of Management Planning, located in Suite 800 at 18th and G Streets, NW. Known popularly as the "Barrett Program", after its director Richard Barrett, the "programming-in" is carried out under a variety of "management directives" by "former CIA officers" now being carried on the State Department payroll as "domestic" Foreign Service Reserve Officers, Class 2. According to the source, the most prominent of these are John J. Adams; Bruce H. Allen; and Curtis Lee Fritz.

Because of the important role played by the April 19 issue of *The Exchange* in the events leading up

to the August 29 developments between Messrs. Gentile and Boswell, *The Exchange* is reprinting hereunder the relevant April 19 article.

The April 19 article follows:

The revelation by this newspaper in its last issue that the Foreign Service Officer Promotion list for Class 1 Foreign Service Officers of the State Department submitted to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee for its approval contained the name of admitted "homosexual" has transformed the existing crises of "confidence and competence" in the State Department into a "crisis of conspiracy," a former Ambassador informed *The Exchange* on April 13.

It deepened considerably the following Monday when employees of the Department learned through the "underground" that Stephen A. Kozak, the former Foreign Service Officer involuntarily retired from the Department, together with *The Exchange*, the American Federation of Government Employees and the American Civil Liberties Union asked for an investigation and a hearing into the allegations this newspaper has been publishing on the State Department since August, 1966, he said flatly.

From a source in the office of Marvin Gentile, the State Department's top Security Officer, it was further learned following the publication of its April 5 issue, another special conference was called in the

Continued